

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 17, 1999 LB 461

move to debate on advancement of the bill. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Schimek, if I may, let me just get on record one more statement of intent, if I could.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Certainly.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I'm speaking with references to subsection (c) at the top of page 4, the same one that we've been working on, and the language that is used in that subsection refers now to a public building used by members of the entity or pool, and I suppose, with respect to some entities, there could be a number of public buildings used by them. There's another existing section of the statute that says no public body shall, for the purpose of circumventing, et cetera, hold a meeting at a place known by the body to be too small to accommodate the anticipated audience. And then it goes on to say no public body shall be deemed to be in violation of this section if it holds its meeting in its traditional meeting place. My question to you is the language of...in the new bill on subsection (c) at the top, when you talk about the public building used by the member of the entity, is that intended to refer to the traditional meeting place?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: That would be my assumption. I didn't craft this language, Senator,...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...and, as with other discussions sometimes on other bills, I didn't go back and read the entire paragraph when we...when I was getting questions about it, but if you will notice it does go on to say, Senator Chambers also, that it says "or at a place which will accommodate the anticipated audience", and that may be what we're trying to get to here. But...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...I think the answer to your question is, yes, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Well, that's...that would...that would